You don't often get email from

Dear Sir

Sunnica EN010106 Unique ref: 20031317

On behalf of the Town & Parish Councils' Alliance, we wish to comment on the following matters for the 26th January deadline:

- 1. We have read the letter from Bioscan (Uk) Ltd dated 22nd January and agree with the concerns it continues to raise. We do not accept Natural England's (NE) position on stone curlews set out in their letter dated 10th January and note both Cambridgeshire and Suffolk wildlife Trusts are also concerned. NE do not have the evidence to support their conclusions.
- 2. It should also be noted that NE have also supported Sunnica's soil assessment report submitted by Daniel Baird Soil Consultants Ltd. This report surveyed 924 hectares of the Sunnica site and found less than 1% best and most versatile soil (BMV) despite NE's own predictive mapping showing that at least 50% of the site is BMV. We know from living in the area and seeing the varied crops the farms grow that Sunnica's report is incorrect.
- 3. We have seen the letter submitted By Michelle Bolger Consultants dated 25th January and agree with its conclusions. The sprawling Sunnica scheme industrialises our landscape and its size and scale make it impossible to mitigate satisfactorily as is being demonstrated.
- 4. Sunnica has been unable to prove the Battery Energy Storage Systems are safe. We have people in our parishes living less than 200 meters from them. Dr Fordham's questions on safety remain unanswered. This is very frightening.

We have lived with the threat of this scheme since 2018. We have provided overwhelming evidence to show it is a poor NSIP with no support; it must be refused on 7th March

Yours faithfully

Fiona Maxwell and Nick Wright On behalf of The Town and Parish Councils' Alliance

